

TWO-DIMENSIONAL SCATTER PLOT TECHNIQUE FOR DEFECT INSPECTION

Hamid K. Aghajan

5

Copyright Notice

10 A portion of the disclosure of this patent
document contains material which is subject to
copyright protection. The copyright owner has no
objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of
the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it
appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file
or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights
15 whatsoever. 37 CFR 1.71(e).

Background of the Invention

1. Field of the Invention

20

This invention generally relates to digital image
processing and, more particularly, to systems and
methods for detecting defects in a semiconductor device
using image comparison techniques.

25

2. Description of the Related Art

Image comparison techniques are used to detect
defects in a semiconductor wafer. Typically, a test
30 image is acquired and then compared to a reference
image. A defect-detection algorithm is then used to
detect variations between the images and to determine
whether such variations are real defects. In the so-
called random-logic inspection mode, an image of a
35 first die is acquired and then compared to the image of
a second die in the same wafer. Array-inspection mode

is similarly performed except that a section of a die is compared to another section in the same die having an identical structure. Array-inspection mode is used, for example, in testing devices with repeating
5 structures such as memory cells. In lieu of comparing images from a wafer being tested, defects may also be detected by comparing an acquired test image with a known good image from a database.

10 Fig. 1 illustrates a defect-detection method in the prior art. A test image and a reference image of the wafer feature being analyzed are acquired from different sections of the wafer using, for example, conventional electron-beam imaging techniques (step
15 110). Each image comprises a plurality of pixels, with each pixel being defined by its location within the image and its intensity or gray level. The use of gray levels in image processing is known in the art and is described in R. C. Gonzales and R. E. Woods, "Digital
20 Image Processing," Addison-Wesley (1992), e.g. pages 6-7, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. The two images are then aligned pixel-by-pixel such that each feature in the test image matches up with the corresponding feature in the reference
25 image (step 120). A difference image is then generated by subtracting the gray levels of the two images (step 130). Because matching pixels with identical gray levels will be subtracted out, the difference image represents pixel gray level variations between the
30 reference image and the test image. The gray level of each pixel in the difference image is scaled, normalized, and then plotted in a one dimensional histogram such as histogram 200 shown in Fig. 2 (step
35 140). Histogram 200 plots the number of pixels in the difference image having a specific gray level. For instance, histogram 200 indicates that there are 20,000

pixels in the difference image having a gray level of 50.

5 A pixel from the test image can be different from
a corresponding pixel in the reference image even if
there are no defects in the two images. Intensity
variations can be caused by, for example, differences
in the physical layer structures, noise in the image
acquisition electronics and signal paths, and varying
10 noise modulation level within a single image across
different gray levels. Thus, pixels in the difference
image do not necessarily indicate that a defect exists.
To differentiate real defects from false or "nuisance"
defects, each pixel in the difference image is compared
15 to a threshold window (Fig. 1, step 150). Pixels with
a gray level outside the threshold window are declared
defects. For example, if the threshold window is ± 50
and a pixel in the difference image has a gray level of
60 (i.e. the gray levels of the test and reference
20 images differ by 60 units), a defect event is declared
(Fig. 1, step 160). The defect event is then verified
by an operator to ensure that the die is indeed
defective before the die is discarded in subsequent
processing.

25

Finding the optimum threshold value for a given
test image is an important but imprecise task. The
threshold value must be chosen such that real defects
are detected while differentiating nuisance defects.
30 The narrower the threshold value, the more nuisance
defects will be declared. Nuisance defects adversely
affect production throughput because each defect event
must be checked and verified. On the other hand,
widening the threshold window will reduce nuisance
35 defect events at the expense of letting real defects go
undetected. Thus, a method for evaluating the

effectiveness of a threshold or thresholding scheme is highly desirable.

Summary

5

The invention provides for a method and associated apparatus for relating a test image with a reference image. In an embodiment of the invention, the test and reference images are aligned. A two-dimensional scatter plot is then created by plotting the gray level of a test image pixel against the gray level of a corresponding reference image pixel for each aligned pixel location. The invention is applicable to electron-beam, bright-field, dark-field, laser, and atomic-force microscopy ("AFM") inspection systems.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 shows a defect detection method in the prior art.

Fig. 2 shows a one-dimensional histogram plot of gray levels.

Fig. 3 shows the steps of an embodiment of the present invention.

Figs. 4A-4c show an alignment step in accordance with the present invention.

Figs. 5A-5B show a two-dimensional scatter plot in accordance with the present invention.

Figs. 6-7 show a test image and a reference image, respectively, taken from a device wafer.

Figs. 8-9 show a two-dimensional scatter plot in accordance with the present invention.

Detailed Description

35

002654 050500

The present invention provides for a method and associated apparatus for relating the pixel of a test image with the corresponding pixel on a reference image. The invention can be used in determining the effectiveness of a threshold or thresholding scheme. The invention is also useful in other image processing applications such as those disclosed by the same inventor in the related co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/365,583 filed 8/2/99, Attorney Docket No. M-7721, "Adaptive Mask Technique For Defect Inspection," which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Other uses for the invention are in electron-beam, bright-field, dark-field, laser, and atomic-force microscopy ("AFM") inspection systems.

Fig. 3. illustrates the steps of an embodiment of the present invention. In step 310, a test image and a reference image of, for example, semiconductor structures are acquired using conventional image acquisition techniques. The images can also be acquired using the step-and-image acquisition system disclosed in commonly-owned US Patent Application Serial No. 09/226,967, "Detection of Defects In Patterned Substrates," filed January 8, 1999, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

In step 320, the test and reference images are aligned to match up corresponding pixels between the two images. A variety of alignment techniques can be used with the present invention including the technique disclosed in commonly-owned US Patent Application Serial No. 09/227,747, "Feature-Based Defect Detection," filed January 8, 1999, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Step 320 is further illustrated in Figs. 4A-4C. Fig. 4A shows a test image 410 comprising pixels 411-416. Each pixel is defined by its gray level and its location on the image. As an example, pixel 413 is on location $i=10$ and $j=30$ (i.e. (10,30)). The gray level of pixel 413 is 50 for purposes of this illustration. Table 1 provides the coordinate location and gray level for each pixel of test image 410 while Table 2 provides the same information for pixels 421-426 of reference image 420 (Fig. 4B).

Table 1

Pixel	Location (i, j)	Gray Level
411	(10,10)	100
412	(10,20)	150
413	(10,30)	50
414	(20,30)	180
415	(20,20)	200
416	(20,10)	250

Table 2

Pixel	Location (i, j)	Gray Level
421	(10,10)	100
422	(10,20)	150
423	(10,30)	50
424	(20,30)	150
425	(20,20)	100
426	(20,10)	0

Fig. 4C graphically shows the alignment of test image 410 with reference image 420. Aligned pixel location 431 comprises the pixels 411 and 421, aligned

pixel location 432 comprises the pixels 412 and 422,
and so on.

Once the reference and test images are aligned,
5 the pixel-to-pixel correspondence between the test
image and the reference image is known. For each
aligned pixel location, the gray level of a pixel from
the test image is plotted against the gray level of the
corresponding pixel in the reference image (Fig. 3,
10 step 330). Using Fig. 4C as an example, the gray level
of pixel 411 is plotted against the gray level of pixel
421, the gray level of pixel 412 is plotted against the
gray level of pixel 422, and so on. Using step 330 for
locations 431-436 yields the data points shown in Table
15 3. The resulting two-dimensional scatter plot 500 is
shown in Fig. 5A.

Table 3

Location	Test Image Gray Level	Reference Image Gray Level
431	100	100
432	150	150
433	50	50
434	180	150
435	200	100
436	250	0

20

Table 3 shows that locations 434, 435, and 436
have varying gray levels and, thus, indicate the
presence of possible defects. Locations 431, 432, and
25 433 are free of defects because the test image and the
reference image have the same gray levels in said
locations. Scatter plot 500 (Fig. 5A) provides
information as to the presence of possible defects.

All aligned pixel locations with the same gray levels can be represented in scatter plot 500 by an imaginary line 501 (Fig. 5A). The slope of imaginary line 501 is +1 because it represents the aligned pixel locations wherein the gray level of the test image pixel is the same as the gray level of the corresponding pixel in the reference image. All aligned pixel locations with varying gray level values will lie away from imaginary line 501. The further a location is plotted away from line 501, the greater the deviation in gray levels, and the higher the chance that a defect exists in that location. In scatter plot 500, locations 434, 435, and 436 are not on imaginary line 501 and indicate the presence of possible defects.

Scatter plot 500 may be used to evaluate the effectiveness of a threshold or thresholding scheme. For example, a threshold window of ± 40 gray level units may be plotted and superimposed on scatter plot 500 as shown by lines 502 and 503 in Fig. 5B. Line 502 represents all aligned pixel locations wherein the gray level of the test image is greater than the gray level of the reference image by 40 units. Similarly, line 503 represents aligned pixel locations wherein the gray level of the reference image is greater than that of the test image by 40 units. Aligned pixel locations outside lines 502 and 503, such as locations 435 and 436, will be declared as defect events. In Fig. 5B, locations 431, 432, 433, and 434 will not trigger a defect event because said locations are within the threshold window. Different threshold windows can be plotted and superimposed on scatter plot 500 to determine which aligned pixel locations will be "captured" and declared as a defect event. Threshold windows may be generated using equations for shapes other than parallel lines. This capability to

visualize the extent of a threshold window is particularly useful to the skilled artisan in determining an appropriate threshold during test development.

5

A pseudo code for implementing an embodiment of the invention in computer software is shown below. In the pseudo code, the gray level values are plotted in a memory array variable ("Scatter"). Appendix A lists the source code of a function written in the C programming language. On page 2 of Appendix A, "hist2D8" creates a two-dimensional scatter plot in accordance with the present invention. The code would be executed by a computer or processor which is conventionally coupled to or a part of a defect inspection system. Of course, such a system would typically store this source code and the resulting plots in a computer-readable medium (memory).

```

20      /* PSEUDO CODE FOR CREATING A 2D SCATTER PLOT */
      Acquire Reference Image;
      Acquire Test Image;
      Align Test Image to Reference Image;
      Create a 256x256 Image named Scatter;
25      Initialize Scatter to 0;

      Do for i = 1 to NumRows
      {
30          Do for j = 1 to NumCols
          {
              p1 = Reference(i,j);
              p2 = Test(i,j);
              Scatter(p2,p1) = 1;
          }
35      }
      Plot Scatter as an Image;
      /* END OF PSEUDO CODE */

```

Figs. 6-9 pictorially summarize an embodiment of the present invention. Fig. 6 shows a test image 600 acquired conventionally from a wafer having a defect 601. A reference image 700 (Fig. 7) is similarly

acquired and then aligned (not shown) with test image 600. Two-dimensional scatter plot 800 is generated by plotting the gray level of the test image pixel against the gray level of the corresponding reference image pixel for each aligned location (Fig. 8). The scatter plot may be generated manually or by using a programmed computer. Aligned pixel locations are plotted as white dots in a dark background. In Fig. 8, line 801 defines the aligned pixel locations wherein the gray level of the test and reference image pixels are identical. For example, if test image 600 was identical to reference image 700, all points in scatter plot 800 would lie on line 801. To determine the extent of a threshold, the equation or parameters defining the threshold are plotted and shown in Fig. 9 as lines 901 and 902. Points outside lines 901 and 902 will be declared as defect events.

It is to be understood that the description given above is for purposes of illustration and is not intended to be limiting. Numerous variations are possible without deviating from the scope and spirit of the invention. The invention is set forth in the following claims.

25